

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,036	10/10/2001	Takayoshi Nakazato	P21334.P06	5056
7055 75	590 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			MARKS, CHRISTINA M	
			PAPER NUMBER	
,			3713	1.0
		DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	pplicant(s)	0		
Advisory Action	09/973,036	NAKAZATO, TAKAY	OSHI		
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	C. Marks	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of it (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF fextension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply se later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:	Teresa Walbarg upervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700				
	(3/1	<i>" </i>			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Examiner has not previously considered nor searched that the display is split into separate areas wherein the second is smaller than the first and the second displays a larger predetermined area of game field. The language present in these limitations adds new facets to the claim that have not been properly searched or considered.